

Emails received during the ETRO consultation

1. The Camper vans have a caravan between them, no number plate.!

The van with trailer is parked directly opposite. Trailer attached for four days.

Took up 3 parking places. Van still there, eight days. FREE ADVERTISING

I live in the GF opposite. I am in a wheelchair, this is my view

Put the diagonal parking bays back or residents only parking. The council insisted the normal parking each side would give more spaces. We couldn't get parked this lunchtime.

Camper vans, caravans in proper sites!!!!

2. A few months ago, I sent an email regarding camper vans and caravans being parked and left on Belvedere Road.

I am pleased to say that the majority of the problem has been resolved, however

There is still one particular stationary caravan that is still there and hasn't moved at all since first reported.

The caravan has people going in and out every day. Apart from being a little suspicious, why are people allowed to use our road for keeping their caravan? There are places around Scarborough offering parking.

3. Campsites require two nights minimum on a weekend, have arrival times which we cannot meet, so you leave us no alternative but to avoid spending our money within your community and enjoying what it has to offer.

As for the safety issues you raise well yes campsites have regulations on distance between campervan and motorhome for very good reason, whilst cooking there is a fire risk. You or the fire service cannot use this as an excuse as cooking takes place during daytime hours when you allow close parking of vehicles but not at nighttime when people are sleeping. So, it is a bit of a contradiction don't you think, park as close as you want whilst cooking (high fire risk!) but when sleeping (no fire risk) you cannot park here.

You as a council leave us no option but to not visit your area for the foreseeable future, you have not looked at how other councils two of which I previously mentioned have addressed the same situation extremely well and benefit from the changes imposed with extra funds from charges, continued tourism and the spending within the community this brings, everybody wins including the genuine campervanners/motorhomes.

I will be keeping an eye out for your so-called comments page regarding the Experimental Order but finding anything on the council website regarding this issue is near impossible, most info comes from the media no surprise there though, it took most of the morning just to find an email address to contact NYC. If you have a link when it comes into place, please do send it to me and I will comment.

4. I have kept an eye out for the comments page since our last conversations via email and there has been nothing. The council were supposed to be reviewing a petition against said enforcement raised by local businesses and residents on the 3rd or 4th October but again no more information from the council on said review. I read on social media that the number of businesses with concerns on the drop in tourism are increasing along with the number of locals stating the towns rely on tourism and you are trying to kill the main sources of income that this brings. What is happening regarding the ban? Is it in force?

My and my wife have not returned to Sandsend or Whitby since it was supposedly imposed which we would have at least once per month spending in your local community and would be there in a couple of weeks' time to experience the Gothic and Halloween weekend.

As I have stated previously we used to visit regularly for events, to kayak with Minke Wales and the Jurassic coastline and eat and drink in the local communities of Sandsend and Whitby now we head elsewhere to councils who can accommodate us. But we do miss the area.

-
5. The Scarborough News mentioned restrictions on RV/Campervan overnight parking in certain areas. I stayed at The Ringcon Parkway Ventura Highway 1 a few years ago, in an RV. I mention this merely because their system/ideas could be of use to the mentioned proposals.

-
6. I emailed as many residents are becoming increasingly frustrated by the swathe of motorhomes, caravans and recreational vehicles (some many cars long) that park on our road. We pay to live here, pay for amenities and have paid a premium for the views. This is a residential area. There are appropriate sites for camping nearby.

As it is, we have successive motorhomes parking up and staying for nights on end, blocking views, and we face real issues: -

- There is little parking available for ourselves, family or friends due to the size and space that camper vans take up.
- Businesses are losing out from the money that would be spent by day tourists in cars as there is nowhere to park due to camper gridlock.
- We see people washing within the camper vans.
- We have seen people cooking on the pavement from camper vans.
- We have seen people pouring substances down our drains (effluent? Fat?) from the camper vans.
- We have seen people unhook their cars from their caravans and go off for days/weeks leaving their caravan parked.
- We have seen people from camper vans throw rubbish into resident's bins.
- A resident banged on the side of a motorhome due to the occupants having sex - when families were walking past!

We pay NYCC for amenities. Why is it that these touring folk can come and park and stay in our area yet not contribute at all? How can the council then say no to the travelling community should they hear of the free parking - great views and nothing to pay along the Esplanade at Scarborough?

The tents in the area are a worry for residents (drug taking etc). Again, how can the council allow anyone to pitch up their tent, and then refuse other touring communities?

Given that we do not know the people in the motorhomes/tents - yet they do know where we live. We cannot and will not 'police' the area for you. This area is your responsibility, we

are paying residents, and we pay for you to support us and protect us and this area. Standing with a camera to film what is going on may conflict with their GDPR rights? and we could end up vulnerable to hostility.

I daresay you will be hearing more from the resident's association. You term it as we are disgruntled, yet at times we have felt unsafe and further, if you allow motorhomes/caravans to pull up and park wherever, for free and in a residential area, how can you safeguard against any other travelling communities setting up roadside homes here?

Scarborough Motorhomes ETRO Consultation 2024.

7. I attended a meeting hosted by Cllr Richard Maw and Richard Marr recently held at Scarborough Town Hall at which it was notified that the six-month consultation period on this Order will shortly close. This is my response to that consultation. I have viewed the online survey but could find no specific closing date and I presume that many residents will have no idea as to the date. Our house fronts on to Peasholm Drive. Please redact our names and address in any public report in order to remove the risk of any retaliatory action from the motorhome community. November to April are not the best months to evidence displacement motorhome parking. The problem will get much worse in the next six months, but I would make the following points:
- i. I have friends who own motorhomes. They accept that paying for overnight stays at official sites is part of their obligations and they would never consider parking overnight in a residential area or layby. I'm sure that the same applies to most motorhome owners who visit Scarborough, and we welcome those responsible owners. The comments made in this response apply, therefore, to those who have no such scruples and are prepared to overnight in residential streets who also exist in large numbers. Many of these are also brazen, confrontational and quite scary for residents. Some are also prepared to damage verges, dispose of their gray water in highway drains, discard litter on street and, in some rare cases, even carry out their toilet needs in public places. Unfortunately, locations like Peasholm Park provide opportunities for the latter practice.
 - ii. Your Council has already envisaged that some motorhome displacement would occur as a consequence of the ETRO, but those areas appear to have been very limited (e.g. Esplanade and Osgodby Hill). We, as the Town's residents, know that this problem goes much wider, not least because (a) we experienced this when the Marine Drive was subject of a similar Temporary TRO in around 2015, and (b) some motorhome owners seek more attractive and peaceful parking areas night, and did so even before the ETRO was introduced. They leave the Marine Drive and stop in the first suitable streets which, on Scarborough's north side, e.g. Peasholm Drive, Scalby Mills Road in what I would call Zone 1 displacement streets for motorhomes. I have already provided photographic evidence to officers the regular use of Peasholm Drive in the 12 months pre ETRO (see attachment). I, therefore, wish to make very clear that Peasholm Drive suffers from the problem of overnight parking by motorhomes and Cllr. Eric Broadbent will confirm that fact. The overnight ban should, therefore, be extended to include the Whole of Peasholm Drive.
 - iii. Your report to the Area Committee in October 2024 justified the ETRO partly on the grounds of Section 122 of the 1984 Act which allows the Council "to exercise functions for the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic having regard to the amenities of any locality affected". It cannot be acceptable if, in exercising these functions, the convenient and safe movement of traffic in other areas are adversely affected. The law clearly infers that the Council's action should avoid creating similar difficulties 'in any locality'. Peasholm Drive is a winding street with parking areas on both sides which already affects forward visibility for drivers. The attached photographs of motorhomes which are too large to fit within the defined

- parking areas, show how forward visibility is further adversely affected to the detriment of road safety.
- iv. In the same report the Council justified the ETRO on grounds that “it is expedient for preserving and improving the amenities of an area through which the road runs” (a reference to the Marine Drive in this case). Again, it is unacceptable if motorhome displacement will have the effect of adversely affecting the amenities of other areas like Peasholm Drive.
 - v. The ‘Risk Implications’ described in par.13 of the Council’s report dated 9 August 2024 report will be of significant concern to property owners in any street to which motorhomes are displaced. Para. 13.3 indicated that “the Fire Authority has received a number of complaints and attended incidents on the Marine Drive and has concerns with regard to fire spread”. The risks are due to the Liquefied Petroleum Gas carried by these motorhomes. The Chief Fire Officer considers they should not park within 6 metres of any other vehicle. Can the Council seriously justify displacing motorhomes to residential streets where these vehicles will not only park next to other vehicles but also people’s homes. In streets like Peasholm Drive there is the added risk of roadside trees to fuel potential fires. The Council needs to have the Chief Fire Officer’s express assurance that he is content with this risk being transferred to residential streets not subject to an extension of the ban.
 - vi. I mentioned earlier the concept of displacement parking to zones, with Zone 1 being the likely first area of search for motorhome owners moving away from the Marine Drive. If Zone 1 is given the same protection these motorhomes will then move out to Zone 2, the next available ban-free area, and so on. The Council have already correctly stated that it would be unacceptable for motorhomes to overnight in any residential street. The only sensible action is, therefore, to extend the ban to all residential streets and identify locations where motorhome overnighting is provided or tolerated in sensible numbers. There is no reason why this cannot be achieved. Some other Yorkshire councils are already doing this. The recent publicity given to problems encountered in Bristol demonstrate how critically important it is to become proactive on this issue.
 - vii. In order for the above suggestion to operate, there is a need for parking enforcement to work effectively. Our parking wardens work well but the service needs to be better managed. Most motorhomes do not fit within the parking areas as required to at all times by the **Borough of Scarborough (Prohibition of Waiting and Loading and Provision of Parking) Consolidation Order 2011**. This states that “Every vehicle left in a parking place in accordance with the provisions of this Order shall stand so that its wheels are within the parking place”. This means that, day or night, the vehicle must always park within the dotted line parking area and not on the kerb or grass verge. Despite this, the Council does not enforce this requirement. What is now needed is for the available/existing funding to be used to undertake this enforcement in conjunction with a permanent Order relating to the overnight parking of motorhomes in residential streets.

-
8. Good morning my husband and I are among the many campervan and motorhome owners who have occasionally used the overnight parking available along Marine Drive and Royal Albert Drive, Scarborough. We have enjoyed the occasional stay here and have visited the Open-Air Theatre, The North Bay Railway, Peasholm Park and Cafe, as well as shopping and eating out in pubs and restaurants in the Town Centre. We have always felt welcome in Scarborough (if you ignore the occasional boy racers intent on showing off how fast their cars can go!), and the council is to be commended for providing a CDP next to the toilet block. Therefore, it is with disappointment that I see you are trialling an overnight ban of campervans and motorhomes in this area. I do understand that some people have taken advantage of the free parking and set up for long periods of time with water and waste barrels, and even gas bottles out on the side of the road. Can I suggest a different solution? You could introduce an overnight charge of, for example, £10 a night and a limit of 2 or 3

nights. This would ensure those taking advantage move on elsewhere and raise some money for the council. It may need monitoring closely for the first few weeks, but once people realise you are monitoring it and ticketing those not complying word soon gets around! I hope you can find a solution that means my husband and I will be able to stay in this area of your lovely town again. Kind regards

9. I have written to you before about the parking overnight of Campervans on the promenade/ sea front and sandsend road. Plus, more and more cars down the sea front which spoils a quiet walk. This activity is becoming more popular as the weeks go by, to the extent they are now camping overnight in residential areas around the White House. Why is this allowed to happen, the smell of urine down some parts of the promenade is disgusting. We also have people in tents popping up in this area. They are leaving rubbish behind, using the place as a toilet and ruining the beauty and tranquillity of the town. The council have been quoted as saying they want to promote local wealth building, if this is so why don't you make these people use the facilities provided and numerous campsites.
-

10. A174 and the two other proposed motorhome bans so despite supporting English jobs and businesses re tourism and the build manufacturing and serving of said vehicles you see fit to ban us ,trial or no trial this will affect or mental health and render us with a expensive ORNAMENT andbrestict our freedom of movement checking locally £48 a night just is a flagrant con and as such would never use instead we shall go where we are welcome and spend our hard earned monies elsewhere Scotland and Europe both cheaper and more accommodating we have spread the word on the many forums and groups we are members of sad as we have visited all three sites for many years but times change Norfolk Lincolnshire Suffolk all seem to be progressing with bans but its cheaper to go abroad and we can watch as the coastal community continues it's economic decline. Wouldn't take much to police by making provision for a small number to stop in marked area's a small fee to cover costs as nothing is free, allow one or two nights and enforce the vehicles breaking the rules ,park and rides are out of reach too as height restrictions prohibit .So if you want to ban motorhomes be up front and honest so people van make their own choice
-

11. I have previously emailed you regarding the stopping of Motorhome parking on Royal Albert Drive and can inform you that we have been in Scarborough the last 2 days and walked along this road and have photo evidence that it is not Motorhomes but actually cars and vans camping and having fires in this area and yesterday there was a sign for no camping but today it has been removed It seems you are using an easy target to blame and not addressing the real issue or want to make excuses. I would mention that in the two days here we have not seen a single traffic warden or officials addressing this
-

12. Regarding Public consultation and advertisement in accordance with Local Authorities; Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996

The 'NorthYorksC' Instagram account posted online recently announcing a proposed 18-month trial parking ban on motorhomes between 11pm and 7am in three more areas on the NY coast (I put a comment on that post.)

I understand that 'encampments' must not be encouraged, but the ban just makes no sense. These vehicles will be allowed to park all day in these areas (7am to 11pm) in full sight of the residents, but whilst the neighbourhood sleeps these vans must vacate the area. (The reason cited by NYC for the trial ban was residents' complaints and safety fears.)

Why not charge for overnight parking, with a 24hr max no return in 7 days policy, thus preventing vans parking for multiple days/nights. The vast majority of people (like ourselves) who holiday in our motorhomes/campervans generate thousands of pounds for local economies. Many other UK Councils and LAs are now realising this and are making provision for people who holiday in motorhomes/campervans. It seems North Yorkshire Council is doing the complete opposite on its coastline (Whitby has had this type of ban in place for a number of years now).

The motorhome industry can verify that many thousands of families now prefer this type of holiday. The holiday format is to travel from place to place - touring various regions of the UK and abroad. This usually involves a day visit with overnight stay and then moving on to the next destination. Plus, most motorhome owners travel all year round, bringing much needed cash to areas out of season. Modern motorhomes/campervans are self contained, ie have solar powered facilities and need only to empty cassette loos and fill with fresh water ever 3 or 4 days (we do - contrary to popular belief - book on to campsites or small farm campsites every few days or so during our holiday journeys in order to perform van admin like this). Unfortunately, many campsites do not allow one night stays - asking for minimum 2 or 3 nights bookings. Many campsites (including in North Yorkshire) also only open between March and October.

Surely there must be a happy medium to be found on the NY Coast? Charging for overnight stays in some car parks; marking out a maximum number of overnight motorhome bays to limit over-parking; allowing Park and Rides in the area to permit overnight stays at a charge (Canterbury, Dartmouth and Bath do this already - they also have water and black waste facilities).

If this was allowed to happen then - alongside local sports clubs, some cattle markets, farm shops and pubs encouraging overnight parking for a fee - the whole of North Yorkshire, including the coast, would continue to see visitors in their motorhome/campervan spending money and enjoying the area and - more importantly - offending no-one.

Motorhome and campervan owners absolutely love visiting North Yorkshire's coastal resorts and villages, but an outright ban on motorhome overnight parking in some areas is just lazy planning and detrimental to the economy. People will carry on up the coast to Northumberland - where the Local Authority has already put in place provisions for booking overnight motorhome parking in various car parks (we've used this scheme very recently and it works perfectly).

-
13. With regards the above case, which concerns cases 101010042176 and 101010042198, I wish to add the following, in view of the fact still nothing has been done in respect of any of the issues raised.

With regards the Council's proposal to temporarily trial the prohibition of motor caravan parking in certain locations, will you also simultaneously impose residents only parking in the Peasolm area? Since all that will be achieved is the shifting of the perceived Marine Drive parking problem to a place where it becomes an actual problem in amongst residents houses. It's already happening on Peasholm Drive. Also, recently there was a tent pitched within the boundary railings of Peasholm Park (and one in the Italian Gardens for that matter).

It is apparent the Council is seemingly intent on making Peasholm a holiday park, allowing the free for all parking and unrestricted growth of Airbnb type holiday let properties.

It's about time the Council acted for its' tax paying residents and provided properly the services and maintenance we pay for and expect. That includes making the roads fit for purpose...I am required by law to have vehicles that are fit for the road. The roads are not fit for vehicles.

I anticipate some positive action and response to the matters, some of which have been ongoing and notified to you for over 6 years. Enough is enough.

14. Good Morning I have a question regarding the banning of overnight parking in Scarborough in September. I live, work, pay council tax, road tax, I pay for a permit to park my vehicle on the street I live and yet come September I, a resident, will not be able to go down in my campervan and spend the night. There are 3 generation's living in my household. Just this week grandma and grandad went down in the van abit of peace and quiet away from the grandbabies for the night, went to the local businesses and spent money on a meal and drinks yet you want to take that away. There were and are plenty of parking for all. Please re think this banning, especially for residents if we live here we should be able to enjoy where we live day or night. Please re think this to me it is ludicrous you would rather I travel out and spend money in other towns rather than spending money in my own town.

15. Appreciate your reply, since my original query, there only appears to be 4 camper vans still on site here, but Filey Rd still has camper vans on site.

I am aware "Rome wasn't built in a day" and in time the parking regulations will not be abused.

Have you thought of the enforcement officers during the day placing flyers on the windscreen of all camper vans parking in the effected areas informing them of the need to comply followed by an early morning ticket issuing session.

16. I am writing this email in response to the proposal to prevent motorhomes & campervans parking overnight in three specific spots, in and around Scarborough. These are Royal Albert Drive, Scarborough, Filey (old) Road & Osgodby Hill in Cayton Bay. According to the report, the council will use a ETRO (experimental traffic regulation order) initially to implement this change, with a view to making this change permanent. I notice that a lot of the information contained in the current proposal refers to previous proposals (and subsequent bans). It was interesting to note the disparity between the first consultation results (May/Aug 14) to the second consultation results. In the first consultation, 93% of residents agreed there should be a ban. In the second consult, only 50% agreed to this. Also, out of 603 responses, during the second consult, 483 disagreed with the ban. Also interesting that another recently proposed ban in Saltburn-Marine Parade & Glenside has not gone ahead due to an overwhelming majority of residents voting against this move. I only mention this as I wonder about the level of complaints. The current information does contain some complaints, some several years old, a couple from more recently. Therefore, it is not clear to what extent people are complaining currently? Perhaps the first stage of this ETRO is where we will find out, as it gives people the opportunity to express their views, but I'm not sure. It would be interesting to know how many complaints have been received in the past 9-10 years regarding this situation. I'm wondering if the figure is greater than the amount of the motor caravan owners who currently use these roads and bring

money into the local economy? Clearly last time, that wasn't the case. I appreciate that the council need to listen and take seriously complaints from locals and also try find a fair and balanced way to deal with this situation. However, after reading the proposal and associated documents and taking into consideration that most of the highlighted issues happen during the day, banning parking overnight seems senseless. For example, the report talks about a fire risk and states- 'The Fire Authority has received a number of complaints and attended incidents on the Marine Drive / Royal Albert Drive and has concerns with regard to fire spread. Most vehicles will have Liquified Petroleum Gas on board. At night, the occupants of motor caravans are more at risk as they are likely to be sleeping, but the risk is present at all times. By restricting the vehicles from parking overnight, it is anticipated the majority of the associated issues will be reduced or removed' Given that the targeted group can (presumably) have fires within the allowed hours of 7am & 11pm as well as use their LPG for cooking etc, then surely the risk is greater during these hours and not through the night when most folks are sleeping (as you said yourself)? As a seasoned campervan owner, who regularly wild camps, I can report that most campers are inside by 11pm, not out all night having fires and causing issues. It is not clear if it is the writer of the report who's mentioning the use of LPG or the fire service. In addition, the report does not seem to include anything official from the fire service, therefore I am left wondering how concerned the fire service really are and if the situation (and/or report) has been exaggerated to bolster the argument and garner more support?

As a final note on the issue of fire risk, in 5 years of being an owner of a campervan, I can count on no hands the amount of times the fire service has been called out to a mh/campervan related fire. No hands. There are complaints about litter. Now I'm not saying no person/s in a camper has ever thrown litter on the floor, but I know from my own experience and seeing/hearing others talk about it, that van folk are pretty respectful and do not throw litter down. Most will have a bin on board so why would they need to? However, I have seen plenty of car drivers dropping litter (literally out of their windows when parked up!) and have challenged them about it. I notice in appendix F, there's a picture of litter taken by a resident presumably, with what seems to be an accusation aimed at campervan owner/s, (possibly gypsy & travellers) despite there being no vehicles in the picture. As evidence in court, this would undoubtedly be seen as inadmissible. On the subject of litter, there was mention of bins getting fuller than normal due to the amount of campervans. So it would seem we are vilified for dropping litter but also putting extra rubbish in the bins. It would seem we can't win really. Secondly, I'm not sure how putting a ban on overnight parking is going to stop the bins getting full, unless you think people in campers only throw their rubbish away through the night.

Another resident claims there was urine and faeces thrown on the tarmac (Royal Albert Drive). Again, where's the proof? Accusations of such a shocking nature need to be backed up with hard evidence as far as I'm concerned. And seriously, do you really think that campers are going to happily stand in or near their own crap?? Yes, on occasion, campers might let out their grey waste water, i.e. washing up water, but let's keep things in perspective here. It's water. It surely can't be any worse than dog pee which is sprayed up and down highways constantly? Maybe we should stop people having dogs or at least walking them in a public place? And let's not ignore the raw sewage pumped into the sea at Scarborough, creating a potential health hazard for swimmers. Perhaps the council would do better putting their resources into that issue rather than the assumed or imagined effluent from campervan owners. I keep seeing statements saying motor caravans are welcome in Scarborough (in the day, that is). For example, Councillor Duncan Keane says "We know how important the tourist economy is to the Yorkshire coast and motorhomes are as welcome to park on the sea front as any other vehicle during the day. Well that's all very well councillor Keane but what you and the rest of the council need to understand is that those visitors who come in campers invariably want to stay the night. Why bother coming in a vehicle with overnight facilities if you're just going to go home at the end of the day? You might as well come in a car (well at least they aren't discriminated against...).

Others have said 'Use campsites'. Well firstly, many campsites are shut over the autumn/winter period. Secondly, campsites are costly, more so now than ever before it seems. A person recently quoted a sum of £250 for a family of 4 at a campsite for 3 nights, £250!! You could have a foreign holiday for that money!

And for people who live in their campers (and this is a growing number), staying on a campsite is just not a financially viable option, especially for the ones on a low income (of which there seem to be many). And in my opinion, it will be this group who are hit the hardest by this proposal. Seriously, reading the complaints, it's hard not to feel that we're seen as vermin and need to be exterminated rather than people wanting to enjoy a seaside break for a few days, spending money on food, drink, fuel, entertainment. It's an outrageous way to treat visitors if you ask me.

The proposal states- 'Whilst the proposals do not have a specific effect on any protected characteristics, there is a consequential peripheral disparity in that the order does prohibit those with motor caravans from parking on the publicly maintainable highway in the affected areas between the hours of 2300 and 0700, whilst it does not affect those parking in other categories of vehicle.'

Firstly, there is the obvious inequality there as cars won't be banned from parking overnight, but campers are. The argument against that being that cars don't cause the same issues. That's another assumption. Cars drivers are guilty (guiltier in my opinion) of dropping litter as well as other anti-social behaviour, albeit in a smaller vehicle. Secondly, the paragraph says that 'the proposal does not have a specific effect on any protected characteristic', I disagree. I think this proposal could most definitely effect a protected characteristic, i.e. mental health. The Equality Act 2010 considers a physical or mental health impairment that significantly and long-term negatively affects a person's ability to perform normal daily activities' a protected characteristic. Many full time van dwellers have mental health issues. Being forced away from areas where they know they can safely park does nothing to help this. It would be judicious of the council to consider this fact. Another part of the proposal says-'it is felt that all highway users should reasonably expect some respite from the parking of motor caravans, for sometimes long durations, in these popular affected sea side streets. Highway users should have the reasonable right to enjoy the amenities of the area without large vehicles inhabiting the streets adjacent to the sea'. Respite from the parking of motor caravans...so they can enjoy the amenities of the area, what, from 11pm -7am? Why aren't they tucked up in bed at that time? I am. 'The overnight occupancy of motor caravans generates noise, which can be anti-social for others.' What noise exactly, when most will be in bed? And who are the 'others' given there's nobody near? None of these roads are residential. The only road (as far as I can see) that has any residents near is Royal Albert Drive and this is a good distance away. Surely they're not being bothered by noise so far away? They will also still have the sea view as it's way above the drive. As for Cayton Bay, there are even less houses around, in fact, I'm not aware of any, so who exactly is being bothered by noise or any other anti-social behaviour between the hours of 11pm & 7am? 'Overnight occupancy of motor caravans can increase the level of lighting on the highway during the hours of darkness. This is undesirable because it can be distracting for highway users. 'Campers increase the level of lighting Which can be distracting for highway users? Would that be the highway users that drive as fast as they can go risking the lives of themselves and others up and down Royal Albert Drive or other highway users?

17. The bottom line is this, campers are increasing. We're not going anywhere. People need a break from the daily grind. Many probably can't afford other types of holidays due to being squeezed to within an inch of our lives, financially, so look forward to a couple of days away at the seaside. Banning us is a kick in the teeth, quite frankly. Provision will have to be made eventually for this growing group of tourists. Scarborough could set the precedent here by providing aire type parking as seen in Europe. These areas provide facilities and are either free or have a small charge. Surely a town should welcome visitors with open arms, not tell them to bugger off! So, to summarise, I think it would be prudent for the council to reconsider imposing an overnight parking ban for the following reasons-
- Financial loss to the economy. People in campers want to stay the night. The longer they stay, the more money they will potentially spend. If they can't stay, they will go someplace where they are wanted and spend their money there instead.
 - Fire safety is no more a problem from 11pm-7am than at any other time during the day. In fact, it's probably less of a problem. So, this is not a valid reason.
 - Litter is not necessarily dropped by people in vans. Plenty of car owners drop litter.
 - The issues perceived or otherwise are for the most part, seasonal. Autumn and Winter and to some extent Spring, will and do see a massive reduction in people camping overnight, so measures to stop overnight parking at these times seems pointless and a tad imprudent. There will still be some, such as full-time van dwellers, who would probably welcome and appreciate a safe place to stop for a few nights. They would also be contributing to the local economy through buying food and fuel, whereas there will be a dearth of other 'fair weather' visitors at these times.
 - The proposal is discriminatory. It does not include cars, which are arguably the worst offenders for some of the issues raised. It also potentially affects a protected characteristic, i.e. disability (those with mental health issues).

North Yorks council would do better having a more progressive rather than regressive approach to this growing community of people and welcome visitors not ban them. There's something not quite right about a council who looks at visitors to their town enjoying themselves and says, 'Get out, we don't want you!' Lastly, I'd like to request that my letter (or at least key points) be included in the NYC review taking place during the first 6 months of the temporary ban. Thanks

18. As a Yorkshire resident, vanlifer and regular visitor to North Yorkshire I was dismayed at the councils recent decision to trial a ban on overnight motorhome camping in several areas along the east coast. I run a large YouTube channel which regularly visits Yorkshire towns and promotes them to people from outside of the region. In the last 18 months I have received messages from hundreds (maybe thousands) of subscribers who have visited places in North Yorkshire off the back of my recommendations. These people are usually older, retired people with money to spend in towns across the area I also visit other counties in the UK and have been impressed with the facilities offered for motorhomes by councils such as Canterbury, Shropshire and Chester to name a few. For example, Canterbury Council run a fantastic park and ride motorhome stopover with facilities and a free bus for just £8 overnight. Could you please give me a list of anything similar that is available in North Yorkshire? Are there any council owned carparks where motorhomes are allowed to stay overnight?
-

19. This is the issue that has been causing most concern to parishioners and day visitors for many years.

Lythe Parish Council supports the proposal, for all areas, particularly the pay and display area on Sandsend Road. Whilst the area on Sandsend Road is not within Lythe Parish, it has had, and continues to have, the most adverse impact on this Parish, for the following reasons:

- the number of motorhomes/vans parking/people sleeping in this area is increasing continually, causing waste and parking issues for other vehicles who wish to visit Sandsend, with bays taken up by both short and long-stay motorhomes/vans.
- the area is promoted on various motorhome websites as having free overnight parking. There is also an obvious monetary loss to North Yorkshire Council as an overnight stay costs just £6, this is if people decide to purchase ticket, a considerable number do not. There may also be a lack of 'turnover' of vehicles, once a motorhome/van is parked it may be there for many days.
- whilst some of the motorhome/van owners may behave responsibly, some owners
 - empty human waste straight into the land drains which run onto the beach.
 - throw it onto the verge or road, on at least one occasion this was witnessed by a Council member.
 - empty toilet cassettes in the public toilets that are not designed for this purpose.
 - the Council is grateful that public waste bins are emptied regularly and at least daily during the peak periods, however there is also the problem of added waste being put into or beside the bins, contributing to overflowing bins that also attract vermin.

All the above have the potential to create health hazards.

- there have been reports to the Parish Council by pedestrians feeling intimidated by motorhome/van owners who leave their van doors open and set up picnic tables etc on grass verges and restricting pedestrian access on pavements. There have also been reports of owners being verbally abusive to pedestrians who must negotiate through the labyrinths or even having to walk into the road with the inherent safety issues that this brings, especially at night.
- The Parish Council is supportive of the Experimental Traffic Regulation Order and will continue to monitor the parking of motorhomes/vans within the Parish. It believes that motorhomes/vans should park on sites that have the appropriate facilities.

Should the motorhomes/van owners then decide to park up in areas without Traffic Regulations Orders in place, the Parish Council will again request that orders are put in place for all Sandsend and elsewhere in the Parish where parking of motorhomes/vans becomes an issue.

20. My main gripe is that there was no consultation before you decided to approve the scheme – at least none for us, the non-local overnight stayers.

We have a completely self-contained motorhome, with solar, gas heating and hot water, 2 toilet cassettes, a 140-litre water tank, a 110-litre wastewater tank. We do not place items outside and only use the longitudinal spaces that do not encroach onto the grass. We used to spend £150.00 plus on a typical Saturday night in Embers, or one of the other boutique restaurants, and leave the next day without leaving a single visible trace of ever having been there.

We've just returned from 6 weeks in the EU, in France and Spain, and have mostly stayed in town/village Aires that are free, some with free EHU and almost all with free water and

wastewater services. They understand the value we bring to the local economy, and we are welcomed with open arms.

We'll not be coming back to Scarborough, as the campsites are too remote and too expensive. We'll just go somewhere else more friendly to motorhomes and spend our hard-earned cash there instead.

Plus, what about the surfers? They sometimes rise at 04.00 to catch the incoming tide and waves. How are they going to be able to do that from a remote campsite nowhere near the beach??

In the meantime, those that you are wanting to discourage – the ones that camp out on the grass near their car or van, build fires, make noise into the early hours, smoke dope, get drunk and leave an almighty mess etc will probably just ignore the new rules and carry on as before as who is going to enforce it? It's only the law-abiding ones, like us, who cause no trouble that will stay away.

Plus, a scheme like yours lasts 18 months – that's the law. By then we'll all be long gone never to return.

Yours in abject disappointment,

-
21. We pay Council Tax on our Parks to take these Motor Caravans who are "wild camping" On the road side.

Where do they dispose of their Chemical Toilet waste ?

-
22. We are in full support of this consultation and hope that the findings will enable a similar scheme to be considered for certain areas in the Whitby Town area. A growing problem with overnight motor homes along the West Cliff area creeping into the side roads, the Abbey Plain, and also in the Fishburn Park area where a growing number of occupied motor homes are being parked up for periods of time.

-
23. The local authority is intent on trailing a ban on motorhome parking for eighteen months. There has been no contact with motorhome owners to discuss what options could be used to resolve the parking issue. The local residents and the local authority obviously do want to see motorhomes in the town for eighteen months. These two groups most have considered how the money spent in the area by motorhome owners is going to be found by others, I would be interested to find how this money is going to be found. As someone who was a Yorkshire resident for more than 30 years I feel it is a big mistake to ban motorhomes for eighteen months without considering any alternative options. If we park on sites outside of the town it is difficult to travel to and from the town as not all camping sites are on bus routes. If you manage without us for eighteen I can manage without Scarborough for the remainder of my motorhomming life. There few towns and cities in the UK that want motorhomes to visit but there are a great number in Europe that are very grateful to welcome motorhomes I will therefore spend much more where I am welcome and my contributions to local communities are appreciated. It will be disappointing if your authorities discision leads to a decreasing number of motorhomes visiting the English coastline and possibility local businesses struggling due to the money that motorhomes spend in the area disappearing. It could I suspect be a very expensive trial.
-

24. I have been reading about protests against tourism in Spain and I believe a main concern is the lack of affordable homes for locals because properties are being bought as holiday lets etc. We are (responsible) camper van owners (van with full facilities) and as such I believe we are the ideal tourists as we bring our own accommodation with us so we do not affect the local housing market, but we spend in the local economy. Which is why I was saddened to find that stopping overnight is no longer to be allowed at Sandsends and Scarborough North Bay. We do use campsites as a preference but take Whitby for eg, there is no campsite within walking distance open from November to April, so we cannot visit anymore in those months. I believe one of the concerns is people abusing the situation, using the area like a campsite, getting out chairs etc. which is such a shame as a few spoil it for all. (I would add we have never witnessed this sort of thing). But if this is the case perhaps some sort of compromise? For eg a dedicated parking area with overnight allowed, away from the seafront so less likely to encourage campsite type behaviour and out of sight (but still within walking distance!). Or just allow overnight stops in the winter months - people are much less likely to be getting out chairs etc in January and more likely to be eating out locally and contributing to the local economy. My husband has just said this message is probably a waste of time, but you never know, I may have raised some points that haven't been considered, fingers crossed.

25. The procedures should include motorhomes, as 7 (and often more) is not acceptable in a residential area.

They stay for several days or more.

Concern also about where their toilet and food waste is being put. We have witnessed waste being poured down drains. The list of issues goes on.

There is growing resentment here and we pay our council tax, yet we feel totally ignored by the council.

26. I do have a suggestion: The parking spaces that we use are the ones that run parallel to the road as the ones that run perpendicular are too short and have rocks on the grass. Maybe you could designate the parallel ones as for motorhomes and campervans/vans only with appropriate signage and penalties for other vehicles using them. Remove the perpendicular space end lines, so that recreational vehicles and vans of all different lengths can park without either being too short or too long for the space within reasonable limits – no vehicles below a specified length of say 5 metres. Then, designate the perpendicular spaces for cars and small vans/campers only (with a specified maximum length limit, aligning with the MH space minimum length limit). Make it very clear that use of the grassed area is NOT permitted for fires, BBQ's, etc.

Once the motorhome allocated spaces are full that's it, anyone in a vehicle too long to fit in a perpendicular space just has to go elsewhere.

It would make the rules clearer, along with signage saying use more than one space pay for more than one space (at least in the paying season).

It's worth discussing, to try and stop inappropriate behaviour of the few spoiling it for the man

27. I am contacting you with regard to the above location which at the moment is an area on The Church Ravine Filey that has a permit area which is seasonal only. Why can this permit area not be in operation all year round for the benefit of residents and business owners. It is very busy throughout the year now and there are plenty of free parking spaces on the remainder of the Church Ravine as parking restrictions are lifted for the winter months for visitors to park. The actual permit for this year has on it an expiry date of 28/02/2025 which suggests that it is for the whole year. Would it be possible to keep this area for permit holders all year round. At the moment there is a camper van parked which has been there for several days

28. Have seen that North Yorks Council is going ahead with implementing the ban on Motor Caravans parking overnight on roads in Scarborough and Sandsend on the 25 November.

I did not see the proposals before the decision was made and I did not have the opportunity to give my opinion on the matter.

I understand that the overnight parking ban is a trial and that it could possibly be discontinued. I would like to give my viewpoint on the matter, please.

From the start I will point out that I am a campervan owner and do like to park up overnight near to the tourist spots that I am visiting.

The reasons for this are: I like to visit restaurants and pubs whilst on holiday/weekend breaks and being close to them means I can manage to the walk from pubs etc to where I am parked up - less risk of being a drink driver if on a campsite mile out of town. I also have a disabled daughter whose mobility is restricted, so being close to the things we want to visit is essential. My vehicle is also self sufficient, as in having its own toilet, washing and waste facilities, so I am able to spend my money on food/drink/entertainment/parking rather than at an out of town expensive campsite.

A recent report from CAMpRA, an organisation setup to promote and support setting up of continental style Aire Du Camping states that Motorhome/campervan owners usually have a decent disposal income that they are very willing to spend in the places they visit. The allowing of sensible overnight parking is a win for a tourist destination, it can bring much needed revenue from parking charges and support small business e.g cafes, pubs and shops.

<https://campira.org.uk/download/strategy-document-2021/>

A good example of how an out of control overnight parking issue was solved , is to look at how Exmouth have dealt with the issue. They allow the use of parking bays on their Maer car park and Queens Drive for a maximum of 48 hours (great for a weekend) at £11 per 24 hours. So for no water or toilet emptying facilities have been added but I believe some are being planned - these could be paid for by applying a small charge (say £1 per use of toilet emptying). Exmouth's plan allows plenty of parking available for locals and car users.

Whilst I can see some of the complaints that arise: Motorhomes staying too long, taking up too many spaces and leaving rubbish. The rubbish isn't necessarily left by motorhome users, though I won't deny that litters louts drive all types of vehicles. Toilet waste? Scarborough has an Elsan point, and I also believe Scarborough has an issue with people in tents? Staying too long? impose a 48- or 72-hour maximum stay (and charge £10 per 24 hours), if a vehicle takes up more than one space then charge per space used.

I'm pretty sure , like most councils, parking charges have been implemented to what were once free carparks or increased to help fill gaps in spending - adding an charge for overnight stays means that the car parking spaces, be it road or car park have the ability to create funding 24 hours per day instead of 9am-6pm.

As an added note: I did used to love to go fishing from the car park in Sandsend, sadly overnighing seems to have been stopped in there - would it make sense to put aside a number of spaces for overnighing (10 for example)? It is a place that I have found a lot of peace, happiness and wonders for my mental health for a number of years. The cafe by the car park is also great.

I know I have made a lot of points and it is a long read, but I hope that the ban is overturned and that North Yorks Council creates areas for overnighing and that it brings you in a decent amount of extra much needed funding.

29. I would like to lodge my objection to the stopping of motorhomes parking overnight suggested for the above. I understand the objections to overnight parking but I do believe there are better ways around this than an out right ban. The council need to create a department for NY area to work with motorhomes/camper banners to come up with a mutually agreeable way to work together, which will allow people to visit and stay and spend but also ensure safety and cleanliness accountability. The council could issue a number of parking permits across the road so to reduce the number of motorhomes allowed to park and these could be wardened if required. This is really a problem that is not going to go away, but by working together and showing how welcoming NY can be can work well for both sides. Put resources into helping make this happen rather than money spent trying to stop it. This could be a nice little income generation scheme for the council if though out properly.

30. as a campervan owner, the last 2 new year's we have been parked up on the sea front along with all the other motorhome or campervans, it's a great park up, listening to the sea, watching the fireworks, I understand that this year you are trialling ban for overnight...I understand you get individuals who ruin this for others, i.e. litter, parking without respect etc...but I really think your missing ours. Why not charge £10 a night from 7pm to 8am. that's a lot of revenue. Plus, the money spent we go into town. keeping the local businesses busy Provide more bins, install more water disposal, refill points . Just look at how the French do it with their aires. Just my thoughts, would be nice to get a response

31. Good afternoon, i am just writing to you to see if you can so something about all the Motor Homes that are parking in Holbeck Road. I know it is a public road , but since the restrictions put on motor homes parking at night around north marine road they seem to be parking on holbeck road and the esplanade , and it looks like they are leaving them here for a considerable time .It gets a little bit annoying when we sit in our living room all we c an see across the road is Motor Homes , its bad enough when the put the lights on in the running track across the road we have to close our curtains as they shine right in

32. I reside on Esplanade Road and have noted with irritation that these motorhome owners still persist residing at length on our road. Currently there is a converted truck which is partly parked on the pavement which has been there since last weekend also one other motorhome and the dilapidated caravan which seems to be a permanent feature. How long must the residents put up with these entitled motorhome and caravan people?

-
33. I run The Headlands Hotel on Weydale Avenue in Scarborough and am a strong supporter of the current Trial on the banning of motorhome parking on Royal Albert Drive. One concern I have is the knock on Effect that will have to our area which is Weydale Avenue, Ryndle Crescent and the old Indoor Pool Concrete & Grass Car Park.

Over the last few years, we have had many issues with motorhomes parking up on our residential street, on the Grass opposite us (including Irish travellers, separate issue) and the old indoor pool carpark. Since the trial ban has come in to place I have tried to keep a log of these overnight stays (See attached). We have brought the issue up many times with old SBC and I have photographs of Earlier issues that you can view using the link below

34. Please find below the response from Whitby Community Network CIC. This issue was discussed at our meeting on 20 January 2025 and considers the responses received from all the residents in Whitby & District attending this and following meetings. We highlighted the details of schemes operated by York City Council, Fylde Council and Torbay Council and it was agreed NYC have failed to address the issue with this experimental traffic regulation order, as it is considered this will just displace the problem to other areas of the coastal towns concerned.

Parking of motorhomes was not objected to, but it was agreed owners should pay for the privilege of parking in Whitby & District and contribute to the maintenance of the local infrastructure **ALL** year round, as should all visitors. Increased revenue could pay for more traffic wardens or monitoring cameras with automatic fines for non-payment.

Regarding motorhome parking in Whitby the following suggestions were agreed:

- i. Motorhomes should not be parked overnight on or adjacent to a public highway.
- ii. On and off-street parking restrictions should apply to motorhomes etc, with daytime parking in designated bays and must pay for the number of bays taken up, with no overhang allowed. All on-road parking areas require marked bays (residential streets - motorhome parking should be banned as our streets as these cannot cope with present traffic and parking conditions). Car parks where motorhomes are not allowed should have height restriction gates fitted.
- iii. Overnight sleeping in vehicles or camping should not be permitted unless in designated car parks or camp sites, nor should the heating, cooking, or preparing of food, placing of table & chairs on pavements or in car parks, or the emptying of waste unless in approved facilities. Parking at permitted sites should only be allowed between the hours of 7pm and 9am, for 2 days maximum, with toilet facilities that are open 24hrs. Monitoring recommended by cameras with automatic fine for non-payment. Whitby sites could include the following which have toilet facilities:
 - Abbey Headland car park
 - Marina Front car park
 - Whitby Park & Ride
- iv. NYC car park details should include links to all local campsite facilities where motorhomes are welcome, and these must have public transport links to maintain viability.
- v. Recommend North Yorkshire, York & National Park councils have a standardised parking policy to avoid confusion amongst visitors to North Yorkshire.
- vi. Parking on the West Cliff lower promenade should be banned to improve health & safety for walkers and prevent further damage to the surface. A permit system for access to specified beaches for jet ski/fishermen/beach huts access could be introduced with a height restriction gate fitted at any entrance. It is suggested an

electric beach buggy with wheelchair fitments is used via the Beach Management Centre for disabled access to the beach huts until the Beach Lift can be repaired/replaced.

We hope a full consultation is progressed with North Yorkshire residents as parking is a major issue for both residents and businesses, with at present tourists being put first. This has had a major impact on all areas of our lives, with resident council tax payers bearing the brunt of infrastructure costs. We would ask that NYC look at the EU definition of “Sustainable Tourism” and include this in any Tourism policy, this highlights the challenge of “promoting the well being of the local community”. We cannot have sustainable tourism without sustainable communities!

We hope you will consider and where possible implement a much more suitable motorhome policy for North Yorkshire Council.

35. I live on XXXXXXXX in Filey and we are currently experiencing a lot of overnight stays from campervans. Some of these stays have lasted up to 4 nights. The campervan occupants are also emptying their cartridges at the side of the road and down the drains. The vehicles are parked directly opposite the residential houses near the park.

This has all started since the parking restrictions kicked in in Scarborough and Cayton. Filey has a number of streets that do not permit overnight parking so why should a residential street have to tolerate this intrusion?

36. I am writing on behalf of concerned residents living on **Esplanade Crescent and the Esplanade** in Scarborough. We would like to formally raise our deep frustration and serious concerns regarding the increasing number of campervans parking overnight — and often for extended periods — in our area. This is a **residential street**, and over recent months, we have witnessed a significant rise in the number of campervans parking not only on the roadside but also **on pavements**, obstructing pedestrian access and creating a clear **safety hazard**. Our concerns include:
- **Environmental health risks**, including:
 - Campervan occupants reportedly **emptying toilet and wastewater contents** into public drains and even into **Shuttleworth Gardens**, which is wholly unacceptable.
 - The presence of **wood-burning stoves** in some vehicles, leading to unpleasant and potentially hazardous **smoke pollution** in the area.
 - **Fire and gas safety concerns**, as many of these vehicles are parked extremely closely together and are fitted with **gas canisters**. On one occasion, a canister was left **in the middle of the road**, posing a direct risk to both residents and passing vehicles. (Reported and the fire brigade came)
 - **Aggressive behaviour** from some campervan owners when approached politely by residents.
 - Persistent **obstruction of footpaths**, making it difficult for families, elderly residents, and individuals with mobility needs to pass safely.
 - **Visual impact** and general deterioration in the quality of life for residents who **pay council tax** and a **premium to live in this area**.

We are unsure whether such use of the area is even **legal** under current regulations, given the health and safety risks, the density of vehicles, and the inappropriate use of public infrastructure. We are therefore urging the council to:

- **Reinstate overnight parking restriction signage** as a matter of urgency.
- Undertake a formal **review of the legality and safety** of overnight campervan parking in this residential area.
- Consider introducing **enforcement measures** or restrictions to deter long-term campervan stays in this location.

We understand the need for visitors to enjoy Scarborough, but this must be **balanced** with the rights, safety, and wellbeing of residents. What we are now experiencing is neither sustainable nor acceptable.

We look forward to your prompt response and to seeing action taken to address this situation.

See below, just my incident numbers and some photos, there are plenty more from other residents.

37. Very soon we shall be leaving for three months touring the EU in our motorhome which has been in storage throughout the winter period, but during this period I have been loosely monitoring the situation in Scarborough and wish to share my findings with you before departure. If you were to read the Facebook Scarborough Moan it can be seen that some residents are complaining that motorhomes have migrated from the Marine Drive and are parking in residential areas, which quite obviously was not the required end product of the parking ban.

The most important item which I feel you or anyone else at NYC may not be aware of is the vast amount of adverse publicity Scarborough has received and continues to receive on the www. The dissenters are not Scarborough residents (NIMBY's) but a broad spectrum of people from across Britain and beyond. The effect of this not only deters parking on the Marine Drive but deters potential visitors from the Yorkshire Coast, and visitors are the lifeblood of the local economy.

On the subject of potential income I again suggest a proper regulated scheme with facilities to be provided on the Marine Drive- Albert Drive between November to the end of March, there is potential of £100k net into the NYC pot and a further input in excess of £600k into the local economy. The town centre is a disgrace and desperate for income, a walk down the main shopping precinct tells the story, it's desperate for footfall outside the summer season. As a top band Council tax contributor of the Borough I encourage you to take the matter up with the powers that be and reverse this counterproductive shoot yourself in the foot motorhome ban through the winter months.

38. Could you please remind me why you banned overnight parking of motor homes and caravans on Marine Drive and Albert Drive. It seems to be working, unless of course you live on Scalby Mills Road. You see the caravans and motor homes have now switched to our road and it's getting worse. This Bank Holiday weekend was unacceptable. We have had numerous motor homes and caravans parked overnight. They park with their wheels on the grass verge because the vehicles are too wide for the parking bays, churning up what grass is left. They throw their waste onto the ground when they leave. (I've picked up crisp packets, takeaway cartons etc. I left the food waste where it was.) One caravan had a fire showing smoke from the chimney while they left it there unattended. It's just unacceptable and potentially dangerous. This is a residential road, not a caravan site. We don't need various consultations or meetings. We need it stopped. I'll attached photos of just one night on a small section opposite my property. They stretched down the road towards the Sealife Centre

-
39. Now another concerning matter that has been drawn to our attention regarding the campervans by the clock tower. The gardeners have informed us that several individuals from the vans are using the council owned water tap intended for watering the flowers displays to fill their own water containers and campervan tanks. This appears to be an unauthorised use of public resources and raises both environmental and legal concerns.

I believe this situation falls under both parking enforcement and environmental misuse, and I would be grateful if this can be investigated.

Can I suggest that someone from the council talk to the gardeners, these lovely guys see all sorts

40. I am writing to express my concern at overnight motorhome parking on Peasholm Drive. Gillian Price Access up the road is awkward enough when cars are parked on each side (as seen when there is a one of the great bands appearing at the OATS) so overnight motorhomes stays would cause even more of a problem. Early collections by the Dial a Ride minibus would soon become an issue as driving up the road is often tight when just cars are parked both sides. The reason for the ban on Marine Drive is even more relevant for Peasholm Drive. I believe that the proposed motorhome parking ban on Marine Drive should also be extended to Peasholm Drive. I feel that the upper car park opposite the OATS would be a great venue for motorhome overnight stays. The ground is level here; the area is away from the main drag and it is close to toilets. I am a motorhome owner myself and so I fully understand the need for safe, affordable overnight parking. However, motorhome parking is not acceptable on Peasholm Drive
-

41. I have completed the survey on this but wanted you to know that as a resident of Osgodby how completely fed up I am (and many neighbours are) of NYC's inability to deal with this issue in any meaningful way. I know Roberta and Rich have tirelessly tried to get this issue resolved for the benefit of the communities affected but it is now time for NYC to get control of the issue before it becomes out of hand, as it has in some other areas of the UK (Bristol for example where there have been confrontations between residents and the 'van dwellers').

How difficult is it for NYC to manage this properly? let's take Cayton Bay as an example, there are still a number of long term motorhome and caravans at the Red Cliff end of the old Filey Road. Some of these have been there since during Covid so must now be considered as 'resident' - if you aren't going to enforce moving them, then why not charge them council tax?

Seriously it is a mess at that end of the road, the caravans in particular look to be unsafe, uninhabitable, unsanitary and are a complete environmental blight on the area. There are now new caravans arriving and staying on the section leading up to Clifton Cragg (Ron's house). The signage that was initially put in was not cemented in properly and I'm sure you know has been long vandalised and ripped out and tossed over the cliff and into the field. This situation will only get worse as the summer progresses as it has done in previous years.

When I completed the survey I suggested that you manage the situation by providing designated areas for motorhomes and campervans and limit overnight stays to a maximum period of 48 hours, and make a charge of £10 per 24 hour period (**caravans should not be allowed at all**). You could use marked out areas of existing Park and Rides for this and you could manage it by installing ANPR cameras to take number plates and install the necessary parking payment machines or apps & enforce if people don't pay or overstay. This could also be done on some sections of the road at Cayton Bay - there is only one entry/exit point at the roundabout so put ANPR cameras up there - in fact at Cayton Bay you are missing a trick in the summer period as you could introduce charges for all and you would get some decent income in.

The main thing is that you must manage this issue in some way - banning it has just created a mess and you're not enforcing it anyway - so it's a hollow action. **So please, listen to your residents and just manage it in a much more pro-active way.**

42. I am writing yet again regarding two large campervans that have been parked overnight near the clock tower — specifically on the **left-hand side as you look out to sea** — despite **clear signage stating “No Overnight Parking.”** This situation has persisted without intervention, and I’m struggling to understand why the vehicles have **not been moved on** in accordance with the local regulations. In addition, the presence of several **scruffy and intimidating men** in these vehicles has made me feel **very uncomfortable and unsafe**, particularly when walking my dog alone at night. This is not what residents should have to put up with, and I’m frankly shocked that this kind of blatant disregard for signage — and the discomfort it’s causing — seems to be going unchecked. This situation is not just a breach of parking rules; it is **impacting the quality of life and sense of security** for local residents. Can you please explain:
- Why enforcement has not taken place?
 - What steps will be taken to remove these vehicles?
 - Whether the council plans to increase patrols or monitoring in this area?
- I’d appreciate a prompt response, as this is a matter of both **public order and resident wellbeing**.

43. The knock-on effect of banning motorhomes in Scarborough is to disperse them.
- West Avenue in Filey is getting up to 3 motorhomes per weekend, near Glen Gardens. These are opposite residential houses.
- They simply drain waste water and swill onto the road, which is revolting and unhygienic.
- Motor homes are using the old Filey road at Cayton , by parking up the hill and out of site.
- Surely it makes more sense to get the income and limit the numbers of motorhomes in Scarborough, and maybe create another area

44. Cayton Bay / Filey Road) MOTORHOME OVERNIGHT PARKING Why are there motorhomes/caravans allowed to park overnight. There has been a large motorhome parked for over 4 weeks at Cayton bay , also caravans left for weeks on end, used as holiday homes

45. At the June Parish Council meeting, questions were raised as to how the results of the consultation will be analysed and what other metrics (e.g. Income from parking, numbers of cars now able to park etc), will be used to determine whether or not the temporary TRO will be made permanent.
-
46. I can't believe that the ban for motor homes is an experiment! Do you really think that they will come back if you reverse the ban, obviously the council are not in the real world. Offend an average British person and they will hold that grudge forever, the campers aren't coming back so don't bother trying even if you make it free! Read social media!
- I was on the beach all last month and the empty spaces tell the tale, too far to walk to town, no bus stops, hardly any parked vehicles all month, you must be losing a fortune in fees, maybe you should get the parking wardens to ticket more people who make slight errors because that will increase revenue won't it? Oh I forgot, you are already doing that! Would you like me to come as a consultant to tell you how to retain customers and make more profit?
-
47. Multiple campervans staying all weekend and all week regularly on industrial estate in Whitby, mainly Botany Way. No facilities, emptying waste water and god knows what else out onto the road.
-
48. I have filled a form in re Camper Van parking. This is now getting ridiculous. There are 4 camper vans in a row all empty been there a week . This is on Belvedere Road adjacent to the Esplanade. These owners leave them all week and drive back at weekends. Since you've introduced restrictions on the North Parade they now have moved to the South side. I object to foul smells and cooking smells in a residential area. The Vans don't move so they use it as a cheap form of second home...without paying double rates I might add. I hope we don't have to revert to the YP for action but surely this can't be right?
I look forward to your reply
-
49. I am concerned about caravan and campervan parking overnight (and often staying for extended periods) in the Holbeck/South Cliff Area of Scarborough. In the summer, Esplanade Crescent and Holbeck Road were particularly badly affected. I do appreciate that tourism is very important to our town, but I think a fairer solution would be to charge for overnight and day parking on non-residential roads/areas preferably with suitable facilities for waste disposal for example on Marine Drive or have a big push at encouraging caravan and camper van tourists to use the many beautiful sites in the local area
-
50. I would like to register my concern as a resident of Holbeck Hill, Scarborough, regarding the growing number of inconsiderate motorhomes parking on the road in the area. Many of them are the same ones returning again and again, some are left here for weeks at a time. People live here, retired here to enjoy the relative tranquillity of the South Bay and enjoy the view - it is not a caravan site. There should be overnight restrictions in place for motorhomes and caravans
-

51. It seems like there has been an increase in campervan parking in the area around the clock tower. I walk on Esplanade Crescent daily, and I am concerned about this parking, vehicles are often on the pavement plus their outsize wing mirrors stick out causing a potential hazard for pedestrians. I did see some traffic officers recently and mentioned this to them, but they just stated it wasn't really an obstruction, no mention of the driving elsewhere than on the road.

There is a small Peugeot Partner vehicle that has been parked there for what would seem to be a year, I have witnessed the occupier get out and urinate into the privet hedge, he also uses the litter bin at the entrance to Shuttleworth Gardens to dispose of his other rubbish whatever it may be. Being there so long I cannot imagine that he has a current road tax and MOT but unless someone enforces the rules people do as they please.

52. We recently had a campervan removed that had been parked there since October last year and was a real eye soar, of which we are very grateful. Unfortunately, that doesn't stop other vans from parking in the street especially near the bend as you come into the street from Filey road and for traffic going onto Filey road it causes real visibility issues, these vans can be here for months. We also have a caravan that belongs to a local who lives in another street, and that has been parked up since the 14th June, it has no number plate on it and the owners visit it regularly, but when we approached them they became incredibly aggressive and called the police saying we were threatening him, which we weren't, it was quite surreal the whole event, and the next day he tried to intimidate me by threaten to move the caravan to the front of our house. Since then we haven't been anywhere near him, however when he comes to the van he never opens the curtains and can stay there for quite some time, and yesterday there was a woman with him who had a very tiny puppy walking on the pavement with her, when I said how cute it was and enquired how old was it she told me it was 5 weeks old, it had no collar or lead on it. A friend of mine had also seen the same bloke and woman trying to sell the pup on Ramshill, she said they even asked her if she wanted a pup for £400. I am concerned that the pup is being kept in the van as they do sometimes sleep in the van too.

Over the summer the parking down our street has been dreadful, there are at least 3 people that I know of who have blue badges due to mobility issues and they have struggled to park close to their homes. so having caravans and campervans parked in the street as well does not help the situation, we also get campervans parking up for a week or two which are holiday makers, and they have no thought for the residents they just park up in front of people's houses and disappear leaving their vans.

If the new ruling is for people to be able to park caravans and campervans in residential streets and possibly stay over in them is going to be the new norm, no-one will pay for their vans to be kept in storage they will just fill up the streets and residents will have nowhere to park at all, and if they live in them where do they get water from and how do they dispose of toilet waste and rubbish, let me guess, they pavements, drains, residents bins or gardens. next we'll have the travellers parking up and putting their horses in our gardens.

If people want a campervan or caravan then they should be prepared to pay for proper storage when it isn't in use, and when in use go to proper campsites, it's ok the council moving these people of Marine drive but local residential streets are not the answer either, we don't want them, we want to be able to park in our own street. Ideally residents only parking would be ideal for Belvedere Road and would stop all the problems that we have every year.

I hope this problem can be resolved soon.

53. Further to recent flyer in our letterbox, yes, there are many camper vans and caravan's putting their legs down on Holbeck road etc, free of charge overnight camping in the height of summer, it's not great
-

-
54. Concerned about reports of reinstating campervans on marine drive in Scarborough. I drive on there all the time and it's been a joy to see families parked up and spending money in local cafes unlike the campers which are mainly self-catering couples. Please keep the ban. I observed 1 family buying breakfast at Taylor's and spent £90. Campers might buy the odd bottle of milk, but they choose their lifestyle and it's about saving money for them which is fine, but they are NOT contributing to the local economy
-
55. Whereas I feel it is important to do all we can to encourage holiday makers to visit and stay in Scarborough the interests of local residents must also be considered. My small area of Belvedere Road has sometimes as many as six campervans parked in it. This is not only unsightly, but it makes parking for residents difficult and often impossible. There are parking sites near to the centre of the town which can be used by the owners of these vans. I would ask the council to reconsider the decision to allow campervan parking in residential streets.
-
56. **Human waste:** This weekend there are 12 Motorhomes parked in Waterstead Lane. More than 5 have been here for two weeks or more.
We have seen the owners go to the marina and fill water carriers from the tap located at the marina.
We have also seen sadly them empty their toilet waste either on the street or on the bank leading down towards the railway crossing. This is absolutely appalling disgusting dangerous hazardous and unacceptable. They also deposit their rubbish in our refuse bins which is neither sorted for recycling but alarmingly beer tins containing urine. (The smell is horrendous)
Some time ago we had signs on the lampposts to say No Overnight Camping.
Can I please plead with you to have these signs re installed at the most earliest opportunity possible.
Sadly there isn't any accountability for some people's behaviour.
-
57. My husband and I live on XXXXXXXXXX which is one of the prime places that for some time has been and still is regularly affected by the overspill of Campervans parking on residential streets since the flawed Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (ETRO).
- We strongly object to these holiday vehicles parking outside our homes often for lengthy periods of time. One dilapidated vehicle was left on our road for six months until it was finally removed two months ago.
- As a direct result of these Campervans parking here and around the adjacent streets there has been a notable increase in rubbish discarded on paths and grass verges, dog excrement, and unsocial behaviour. Some of the Campervans are even being lived in full time such as two permanently parked across from the Red Court building at the bottom of Holbeck Road. A few becoming a noise nuisance with groups of people heard congregating in smaller vehicles late in the evening.
- A few months ago, I was walking my dog at night and had the misfortune to witness a man open his Campervan door and urinate straight onto the road. I was shocked and intimidated. This is a beautifully kept area that we are proud of, and standards are rapidly dropping. Larger Campervans that park on corners also obscure the road, therefore making it dangerous for cars to turn safely and for people to cross. Particularly, at the bottom of Holbeck road onto Esplanade road.
- We would like to see this issue addressed asap, and the large parking area on the North side of Scarborough that was previously available for Campervans to stay overnight re opened

58. I've our this complaint under "business" as I know that the prohibition on the parking of campervans in N.Yorks is affecting business and income. If residents continue to complain about parking, tourist income will decline, therefore council tax will need to increase to cover the loss. Please provide a suitable location on the edge of popular spots, to enable us to visit your beautiful county. Look at other countries, where they provide free, and easily accessible parking spots for Campervans, and see how much revenue they bring to towns; it's not rocket science!! To ban the parking of campervans is discriminatory, so I, along with hundreds of others will not be spending our cash, in N.Yorks, until this is resolved. Regards

59. I am contacting you on behalf of Cloughton Parish Council to register a formal objection to the proposal to make the ETRO on North Bay, Scarborough, a permanent restriction.

I have completed the online consultation questionnaire to explain the view of the Council - our concern is that the displacement of vehicles from North Bay has coincided with an increase in the overnight parking of these large vehicles in a local beauty spot, Salt Pans Lane, Cloughton.

This is private land and the landowner erected a sign a few months ago prohibiting overnight parking. This sign was quickly vandalised and removed so the landowner is making arrangements to put more robust signage in place.

Our experience has been that increased campervan usage results in increased littering, irresponsible use of barbecues and nuisance behaviours amongst other things. This site is completely unsuitable for overnight camping as it has no toilet facilities or waste facilities and is completely out of sight.

Councillors are therefore recommending that the Review of the ETRO includes consideration of the unintended and unwelcome consequences of vehicle displacement on surrounding communities.

The Council will also be submitting a similar objection to the consultation on the Public Space Protection Order regarding unauthorised camping which is currently online.

It is unfortunate that the Parish Council was not formally consulted on this matter -we would have expected this to be the case. Nevertheless, we trust that our concerns will be recorded as those of a democratically representative body which is representing concerns of local residents and carry the commensurate weight.

60. I offer the following observations and comments pertaining to the ban along Marine Drive - Scarborough

I totally understand the issues regarding Health & Safety which can be compromised if vans are parked too close to each other and if there was a greater degree of separation this might be overcome. One evening on a journey from North Bay - the Sands to the Toll house my grandson counted over 120 vans. Perhaps greater separation and enforcing an overnight charge might help.

My main concern is the impact the ban has had on the area of South Cliff - from the Clock Tower on the Esplanade/ Holbeck Road and towards Esplanade Crescent and Sea Cliff car park. It should be noted that I walk this area every morning, irrespective of the weather.

In addition to the issue of overnight parking in these areas - the issue of Sea Cliff car park needs airing and addressing. Since the ban there has been a significant increase in

overnight parking of both camper vans and caravans with the attendant increase in litter etc. Last summer, at times the carpark had significantly reduced space and there was significant junk left behind as shown in the photo. It is a great pity that this lovely area has become a dumping ground.

A further problem which I have seen and others have commented on is the "abandoning" of camper vans after the season. The last photo shows a camper van which has not moved in months. Today I counted 6 which have been in situ for months in the Holbeck Rd/Esplanade between the clock Tower and Esplanade Cres.

61. With regard to your plan to ban motorhomes and campervans from Filey Road and Marine Drive in Scarborough. Can you explain how this will improve anything? The owners are paying to park during the day and that increases revenue so why not just introduce an overnight charge rather than ban them, after all the bays will be empty. As a resident of Scarborough, I welcome these motorhomes and the money they spend in the town. I think your focus should be more on moving on people who are camping in tents on the front and in the Italian gardens and leaving litter behind, most motorhomes in my experience are very responsible and have everything on board to dispose of waste. I don't understand what you are hoping to achieve by banning overnight parking other than providing 'boy racers' with more empty tarmac, in fact go one further and reintroduce overnight parking, again with a charge, at the Sea Life Centre I remember a conversation with the manager of the Sea Life Centre some time ago who said he welcomed them parking overnight as it added a little bit of security for their site and once again, as they were paying for it, it brought in revenue. Rather than having empty pieces of Tarmac on Marine Drive and in the sea life centre let's welcome them here to spend the money and give the town council some revenue for parking